

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,952	11/21/2003	William D. Hitz	BB1077 US DIV	4183
23906	7590 04/20/2006		EXAMINER	
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER			IBRAHIM, MEDINA AHMED	
	TENT RECORDS CENT IILL PLAZA 25/1128	EK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4417 LANC	ASTER PIKE		1638	- <u>-</u>
WILMINGT	TON, DE 19805		D. 75	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.					
Office Action Cummons	10/718,952	HITZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Medina A. Ibrahim	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	7 January 2006.					
·— · ·	This action is non-final.					
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6,7,9 and 10</u> is/are pending i	n the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.	1					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4,9 and 10</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		anliaction No				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the p						
application from the International Bur	•	received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.				
		·				
Attachment(s)	4) 🗖 Interview 6	Summon (PTO 412)				
D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	dummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date //17/66	(08) 5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Applicant's response filed 1/17/06 in reply to the Office action of 07/14/05 has been entered. Claims 2, 5, and 8 are cancelled. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-7, and 9-10 are amended. Therefore, claims 1, 3-4, 6-7, and 9-10 are pending and are examined. Examiner notes that the amendment to claim 7 is not in compliance with Rule 1.121 which requires that the text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The statement "or 11 using the Clustal method of alignment" should have been underlined.

The IDS of 1/17/06 has been considered; initialed and dated copy of the IDS form 1449 is attached to this Office action. The Sequence Listings of 01/19/06 have been entered.

All previous rejections and objections not set forth below have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment and/or upon further consideration. The written description rejection has been withdrawn in view of Majumder et al (Biocehm. Biophys. Acta (1997) 1348:245-256) cited by Applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,952

Art Unit: 1638

Claims 1 and 7 are indefinite in the recitation of "using" without any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced. The claims are also indefinite because "the Clustal method of alignment" lacks antecedent basis. The statement also implies that there is only one Clustal method of alignment, and it is unclear if there is only one.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the isolated nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 1, 5, 11 and 15 and chimeric gene comprising said nucleic acids, does not reasonably provide enablement for all nucleic acid fragments encoding a soybean myo-inositol phosphate synthase including those that are 90% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO: 1,5, 11 or 15 and the complement and subfragments thereof. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. This rejection is repeated for the reasons of record as set forth in the last Office action of 07/14/05. Applicant's arguments filed 01/19/06 have been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

Applicant argues that sequences of the invention comprise regions and domains that are associated with the myo-inositol 1-phosphate synthase function. Applicant cites Majumder et al (Biocehm. Biophys. Acta (1997) 1348:245-256) and (FEBS Lett. 553: 3-

Application/Control Number: 10/718,952

Art Unit: 1638

10 (2003)) to support this position. Applicant further argues that the specification discloses SEQ ID NO: 2 and 16 that vary at amino acids 10, 50, 52, 57, 175, 412, and 444, suggesting these amino acid positions would tolerate modifications. Applicant further argues that the disclosed sequences of SEQ ID NO: 6 and 12 indicate that glycine at position 87 and lysine at position 396 are important for the enzyme function. Applicant, therefore, asserts, the specification provides guidance to practice the claimed invention without undue experimentation. Applicant, therefore, requests that the rejection be withdrawn (response, p. 10-11).

These are not found persuasive because Applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims. The scope of the nucleic acid fragments having 90% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO: 1,5, 11, and 15 and subfragments thereof, encompasses large number of nucleic acid sequences with multiple of sequence modifications that extend far beyond the modifications in the seven amino acid positions of disclosed sequences of SEQ ID NO: 1-2 and 15-16. While single amino acid modifications are known, it is not routine in the art to screen for multiple substitutions or multiple modifications as encompassed by the instant claims. One skilled in the art would expect any tolerance to modification for a given DNA/protein to diminish with each further and additional modification or multiple substitutions/ deletions. One skilled in the art would have to make all possible nucleotide substitutions and deletions in the at least1500 nucleotide long sequences of SEQ ID NO: 1, 5, 11, or 15 and test all nucleotide sequences that meets the structural limitations to determine which also meet the functional limitation. In addition, the specification does not provide guidance

A 4 1 1 1 4000

Art Unit: 1638

regarding subfragments of any size thereof that are capable of decreasing expression of an endogenous or native soybean myo-inositol 1-phosphate synthase, as stated in the last Office actions.

Therefore, given the lack of sufficient guidance in the specification; the limited working examples; the nature of the invention; the state of the art and unpredictability as discussed in the last Office action, the claimed invention is not enabled throughout the broad scope.

Remarks

The claims are deemed free of the prior art of record.

Claims 3-4 and 9-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/718,952 Page 6

Art Unit: 1638

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Medina A. Ibrahim whose telephone number is (571) 272-0797. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday -Thursday from 8:00AM to 5:30PM and every other Friday from 9:00AM to 5:00 PM. Before and after final responses should be directed to fax nos. (703) 872-9306 and (703) 872-9307, respectively.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg, can be reached at (571) 272-0975.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

4/17/06

Mai

MEDINA A. IBRAHIM PRIMARY EXAMINER